| | Case 2:21-cv-01397-JAM-KJN Documen | nt 27 | Filed 02/14/22 | Page 1 of 2 | |
|----|---|----------|-------------------|-------------|--|
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | JARVON D. GREEN, | N | o. 2:21-cv-1397 J | AM KJN P | |
| 12 | Petitioner, | | | | |
| 13 | V. | <u>O</u> | <u>RDER</u> | | |
| 14 | BRIAN KIBLER, | | | | |
| 15 | Respondent. | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an application for a writ of habeas | | | | |
| 18 | corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate | | | | |
| 19 | Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. | | | | |
| 20 | On January 07, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein | | | | |
| 21 | which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to | | | | |
| 22 | the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party filed | | | | |
| 23 | objections to the findings and recommendations. | | | | |
| 24 | The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 | | | | |
| 25 | F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. | | | | |
| 26 | See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having | | | | |
| 27 | reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record | | | | |
| 28 | and by the magistrate judge's analysis. | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | |

| | Case 2:21-cv-01397-JAM-KJN Document 27 | Filed 02/14/22 Page 2 of 2 | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: | | | | |
| 2 | 1. The findings and recommendations filed January 07, 2022, are adopted in full. | | | | |
| 3 | 2. Respondent's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 18) is granted. | | | | |
| 4 | 3. This action is dismissed. | | | | |
| 5 | 4. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. | | | | |
| 6 | 6 § 2253. | § 2253. | | | |
| 7 | 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | 9 <u></u> | n A. Mendez HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ | | | |
| 10 | | ED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE | | | |
| 11 | 1 | | | | |
| 12 | 2 | | | | |
| 13 | 3 | | | | |
| 14 | 4 | | | | |
| 15 | 5 | | | | |
| 16 | 6 | | | | |
| 17 | 7 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 2728 | | | | | |
| 20 | 9 | | | | |